

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 3126 OF 2005

IN

INCOME TAX APPEAL (LODGING) NO. 1330 OF 2005

The Commissioner of Income- Tax Appellant
Mumbai

Vs.

M/s Reconda Ltd.

.... Respondent

Mr. A.D. Kango, for the Appellant.

Mr. Beena Pillai i/b D.M. Harish & Co. for the
Respondents.

CORAM : F.I. REBELLO, &
J.P. DEVADHAR, JJ.
DATE : 4TH SEPTEMBER, 2007

P.C.

. The delay is less than 200 days. Considering the practical difficulties which the Department was facing including referring the matters to the Law Department, Government of India for drafting the Appeal Memo and considering the affidavit in support of the Notice of Motion, in our opinion, the cause shown would amount to sufficient cause. Hence, delay condoned. The Notice of Motion is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).

2. Office to register the Appeal.

(J.P. DEVADHAR, J.)

(F.I. REBELLO, J.)